

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Surjit Singh,**  
S/o Sh. Bishan Singh,  
# 2, Phase 2, Urban Estate,  
Post Office Punjabi University,  
District Patiala-147002

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
o/o Joint Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,  
Patiala.

**Public Information Officer,**  
o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,  
Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,  
Patiala.

### Appeal Case No. 907 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Surjit Singh (Appellant) 98720-19658  
Manoj Kumar, PIO-cum-Assistant Architect (Respondent)  
Vishal Sayal, Superintendent (for the Respondent) 96460-50780  
Avikesh Gupta, PIO-cum-Assistant Architect (Respondent)

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 16.9.2021)*

1. The RTI application is dated **21.10.2020** vide which the appellant has sought **voluminous information regarding unauthorized shops sealed in Gobind Bagh between 1.1.2019 and 20.10.2020**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **24.11.2020** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **10.2.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **16.9.2021**.

2. Both parties are present. At the last hearing on 16.9.2021, the Joint Commissioner, Patiala, Avikesh Gupta, was issued a Show Cause Notice to which he has filed a detailed reply along with information pertaining to points 3 and 4 of the RTI application. He has also requested that the Show Cause Notice be withdrawn. The PIO Building Branch-cum-Assistant Architect, Manoj Kumar has submitted 28 pages by way of information. The appellant says that he has not been given copy of the sealing register as requested by him.

3. The PIO-cum-Assistant Architect, Manoj Kumar, states that the said register was misplaced during shifting of the office as has been recorded in earlier Appeal Case before this Bench. The part of the register has been reconstructed and the PIO assured the Commission that he would furnish attested copies as available in his record forthwith.

Contd. ...2

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## **Appeal Case No. 907 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)**

4. Following the Hearing, the respondent PIO, as directed, submitted copies of the relevant pages of the Sealing Register to the appellant and this Commission vide an email dated 16,12.2021.
5. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

**Sd/-**  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh  
14.12.2021

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Sohan Singh,**  
S/o Sucha Singh,  
Village Bara, PO Pathreri Jatan,  
Tehsil & District Roopnagar.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
o/o Director, V & S, Vigilance,  
Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. (PSPCL),  
District Patiala.

### Complaint Case No. 503 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Sohan Singh (Complainant) 94648-69183

Hardeep Singh, Superintendent (for the Respondent) 98768-97227

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **4.2.2021** and **16.9.2021**)*

1. The complainant, **Sohan Singh**, filed this RTI application dated **6.3.2020** and sought information regarding copies of statements etc in Enquiry No. 466/2011 dated 4.7.2021, from the **PIO o/o Director, V&S, Vigilance, PSPCL, Patiala**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **4.8.2020**. The case was last heard on **16.9.2021**.

2. Both parties are present. As directed at the last hearing, the respondent PIO, represented by Hardeep Singh, Superintendent, has submitted the requested information alongwith a covering letter to the Commission vide letter No. 8207 dated 17.9.2021. The complainant is present in person and PIO's reply and the information have been handed over to him. His receiving has been noted on file.

3. There is no further cause for action and this Complaint Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

**Sd/-**  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh  
14.12.2021

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Sushil Gupta,**  
S/o Lal Chand,  
# 1410, Phase-I, Urban Estate, Dugri Road,  
District Ludhiana – 141 013

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
o/o Deputy Secretary,  
Punjab State Power Corporation Limited,  
District Patiala.

### Complaint Case No. 721 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Complainant) Absent

Amandeep Singh, APIO-cum-Senior XEN (for the Respondent) 96461-18116

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 4.2.2021 and 16.9.2021)*

1. The complainant, **Sushil Gupta**, filed this RTI application dated **24.8.2020** and sought information **regarding a complaint / request dated 12.8.2020 to the Chief Engineer (Enforcement) PSPCL, Patiala**, from the **PIO o/o Deputy Secretary, PSPCL, Patiala**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **4.8.2020**. The case was last heard on **16.9.2021**.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by APIO-cum-Senior XEN PSPCL, Amandeep Singh, has as directed, submitted a fresh reply to the complainant's RTI application stating the correct position with regard to the queries enumerated in the RTI application regarding the action initiated on the complainant's, letter to the Chief Engineer, Enforcement, PSPCL, Patiala Dated 12.8.2020.

3. This Commission is of the view that the respondent PIO's reply vide Letter No. 720 Dated 6.10.2021, adequately addresses this RTI application.

4. There is no further cause for action and this Complaint Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

**Sd/-**  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh  
14.12.2021

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Gurvinder Paul Singh Rana (Advocate),**  
S/o Sh. Baldev Singh,  
Chamber No.145, New Courts,  
Jalandhar.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,  
District Patiala.

## Complaint Case No. 851 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Gurvinder Paul Singh Rana (Complainant) 98768-06741 (Via Cisco Webex)  
ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 94643-92733

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 4.2.2021 and 16.9.2021)*

1. The complainant, **Gurvinder Paul Singh Rana**, filed this RTI application dated **20.2.2020** and sought information **regarding FIR No. 94 dated 2.10.2018, PS Anaj Mandi, Patiala**, from the **PIO o/o SSP, Patiala**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **10.11.2020**. The case was last heard on **16.9.2021**.
2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO represented by ASI Hakam Singh, States that the FIR NO. 94 Dated 2.10.2018 has been cancelled and cancellation has been submitted to the concerned Court which is yet to take the decision.
3. In view of the above, the respondent PIO once again sought exemption under Section 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act 2005. The respondent PIO's decision is upheld. There is no further cause for action and this Complaint Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

**Sd/-**  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh  
14.12.2021